1			
2			
3			
4		EXHIBITS TABLE OF CONTENTS	
5		EARIBITS TABLE OF CONTENTS	
7			Page
8			
9	EXHIBIT A	Minutes of March 26, 2007 in <i>People v. Arnell</i> , California Court Case Number S188356, reflecting Judge McAdam's denial of the	
10		petition for writ of coram nobis	A.1
11	EXHIBIT B	Guilty Plea on March 3, 2004, in People v. Lantz Arnell, California	
12		Case Number S188356	B.1
13	EXHIBIT C	Minutes of December 15, 2006 in Randlett T. Lawrence v. Lantz E.	
14		Arnell, California Court Case Number GIS20077	C.1
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
28			
40			

Case 3:08-cv-00441-LAB-RBB Document 5-5 Filed 04/18/2008 Page 1 of 11

ase 3:08-cv-00441	-LAB-RBB	Document 5-	·5 File	d 04/18/2008	8 Page 3 of 11
	. ∠RIOR C	OURT OF CALIFORNI			
☐ Central Division		ion North County	Division	South Court, Division	on Waivers: Time
PEOPLE VS. ARNELL	LANTZ	STAT	US CR	\$	BB □CB (Y/N)
CASE # \$188356 P	ROS #	DOB: 100446	BKG #		CTS: days hrs.
DATE C3-26-C7 ATC9	: CO DEPT # C16	NTERPRETER!			Spanish Sworn Oath on File
JUDGE/COMM/PRO-JEM ACE		TAPE	#	PORTER - CSR # COUNTER #	1-954
CHARGE(S) PC24	2			WITHOUT IN COUNTY OF STREET	eren er in er besteller by min
FUTURE DATES		NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF THE STREET			CONFIRMED VACATED
L. Balon	People ( DDA / DCA )	P+P	Attorney for the De	efendant ( PD / APD / P	29-57 49 CC / Retained / Counseling )
T Defendant present by	ithous comments I in no	o per ) 🗆 via audio/video	not produ	iced in courtroom	Defendant failed to appear
A AMENDMENT WAIVE	R PREVIOUSLY ORD	ERED PROTEI	CTIVE ORDER	PURSUANT TO PC	36.2 PREVIOUSLY ISSUED
Case called for ☐ Ex-Partel	Warrant ☐ Review ☐ OLL/COMPLETE: ☐	Restitution OSC SUBMIT PROOF OF [	Evia Pro REPORT TO:	Revocation Services STAY	(REASSIGNMENT TO:
Assessment Pay (balance of	if) fine/restitution fine/fee	S (A	S per r	mo, due[ as condition of probatio	Civil Assessment \$
in lieu of days PSWP [ ] AA/NA Mtgs ( per	STAR MADD FO	pe	AIDS Test Result	is [] Sale of Vehicle/IID enting Ed. ()	Impletion of  ☐ Civil Assessment \$ ☐ In lieu of \$ ☐ Insurance ☐ Drug Testing ☐ Shoplifters Ed ☐ HIV/AIDS Ed.
PRRF\$suspend	ed 🗌			Court finds o	left is violation of probation
Defendant advised of rights an Defendant waives arraignment	d ☐ admits ☐ denies vi & time for judgment. PR and conditions ☐ MODII	OBATION ☐ remains ☐ : FIED as follows ☐ EXTEN	IDED/TOLLED to:	maily REVOKED   F	CEING I A I ED I I ENMINATED
☐ DENIED defendant sentence	d as follows:	☐ Condition SATISFIE	ED 🔲 as to		
OUNSEL Court appoints of	ounsel  Public Defend	ler 🗆		for _	hearing days PC4019)
					days actual days PC4019)
to Detention Facility: Central	Las Colinas  Vista	Short Term Work Furlor  NO Fariy release	NO Work Release	n. 🔲 Serve 🔲 consecu e 🔲 NO County Parole	itive to concurrent with. NO ESP/Home Detention
CUSTODY DINLIFLOR D	S fine at \$50	.00/\$ per day L	days F	PSWP	residential rehabilitation program
CHICKORY CATISFIED BY	days PSWP	days in [			residential rehabilitation program review hearing
days custody stayed p	ad on the Drobation Roy	ocation Restitution Fine 5			
☐ Civil Assessment ☐ remain	s [] reduced to \$	balance sus	spended  delet	ted [ release abstract	to issue
C PREVIOUS RALANG	CF				per month beginning on
days/hrs P	SWP Volunteer Work	reconverted to fine		☐ Balance at 5	d on the of each month
Candit for do	fee waived add to	Junteer Work PSWP co	mpleted	thereafter until paid	
Staved Suspe	nded   pending	I successiu comp	letion of probation	I INELECTION	
Attorney Fee	Indigent as to Attorney	Fee Add to Fine		C COURT CO.ICO.	ions Credit Agency
S TOTAL DUE			to al Court Associate	- Allerson foor This profe	or is not a condition of probation
The Court finds the defend	ant has the ability to repay the	ie County of San Diego for cos	of \$	□ at S per	month beginning
directly to the victim and show	proof to the court D by	□ at	Review Hrg	through Court C	month beginning ollections  Revenue & Recovery
					ion Submit to civil process.
DUDING CEDVICE WORK D	DOCDAM (DSWP)/VC	HINTEER WORK I I	complete:     Re-	assign	
PSWP Call within 72 hrs	o enroll (see reverse) L	Enroll by L	at organization	on Court approved list	Other
days as condition of prob		_days custody	hours as c	and tion of probation	
days credit for time serve			hours in lie	eu of [] fines/fees \$	days custody/ PSWP
TOTAL days to be compl	eted	-d - theread	TOTAL be	dit for time served/compl ours to be completed	
One day per week authorized	root with	Submit proof to the	Court by	☐ days cu	stody for each day/8 hours missed
EDUCATION/TESTING   R	E-ASSIGN Attend wh	ile in custody Out of co	ounty authorized L	e Boating Class	Mamti Com
Parenting Class ( sessio	nshours) 🗌 8 hr	Traffic/Repeat Offender Tr	affic School U SI	noplitting Course L	avs/ months.  as directed by
program/course   through   Assessor   HIV/AIDS Education	in Submit to Sherif	f ordered to administer   CO	HIV/AIDS TEST	pursuant to PC12APR	ays/ months   as directed by   PROPING   Test Results   to the   Court   at REVIEW
TRIVERS LICENSE TICEN	Se is suspended by law a	on Court for a contricted line	ense Court fir	nds a critical need to driv	ELG IEK
DEEEDRALS Report I fo	rthwith by	to Asse	essment Unit L	Jour Conections and co	mply with additional conditions of
probation imposed Revenue	& Recovery Probati	On Department for Mini-Re	sport re	AT IN	DEPT FOR:
☐ Time is waived ☐ DEFE ☐ Restitution ☐ Order t			encing After Rev	ocation Review reg	arding
Restitution - Order t		I I anrollment   Dr	ooress     comple	Hon I Diobation Condi	tions noted above.
OTHER: Proof of	u for Writ of	Coram Nobis	is deni	ed by the	Court.
V	· U	-10	C LAUT	HOUT BALL CLasses	t □ increased □ reduced
CUSTODY STATUS Defi	REMANDED to custod	y or Sheriff, ball \$		HOU! BAIL   as se	
Deft RELEASED: on bailing to an authorized representated Release conditions.	ve of:	MA Mas ses week and su	on	atatAbst	ain from alcohol
					ON
C Schedule for court Many	tatory Appearance N	ight Service Auth   Cas	sh bail may be for	teiled   HOLD issuant	ce of warrant to DATE SET ABOVE
☐ Warrant previously ordered/i					
BAIL STATUS Bail is: ☐ exc ☐ Bail forfeiture set aside, bon ☐ Bond #	d -a a stated avanarated i	inon navment of court cost	1 % WIII	nin 30 days   Cost war	vea
Attest a true conv	Dated		CLERK by		Deputy Cle
Attest a true copy  Distribution by	on to	Jail Deft Atty Pros Pr	rob R&R Interp	preter Assessment Ot	her
SDSC CRM149/Rev 12:05.	3	MISDEMEANOR - PO	ST SENTENCE	MINUTES	

I have the right to confront and cross-examine all the witnesses against me. I now give up this right.

ARNELL	S 188356			
nave the right to remain silent (unless I choose to testify on my own behalf).	I now give up this right.			
have the right to <b>present evidence in my behalf</b> and to have the court subpone. I now give up this right.	oena my witnesses at no cost			
CONSEQUENCES OF PLEA OF GUILTY OR N	O CONTEST			
I understand the possible consequences of entering a plea of <u>Guilty/No Contest include a maximum sentence of up to months/year(s) in jail and fine(s) of up to plus additional consequences specified in any attached addendum, and any other reasonable conditions of probation, which could be for a maximum of 3/5 years.</u>				
I understand that in addition to any fine imposed, the law requires the Court to add penalty assessments which will substantially increase the amount I must pay. In addition, I understand that I may be ordered to make restitution to the victim, if the offense involved a victim, or to a restitution fund. I understand that I shall be ordered to pay a mandatory restitution fine (\$100 - \$1,000).				
understand that I may not be sentenced earlier than six (6) hours, nor later to give up this right and agree to be sentenced at this time.				
understand that if I am not a U.S. citizen, this plea of Guilty/No Conte eportation, exclusion from admission to the U.S. and denial of naturalization ny offense listed on the back of this form, then I will be deported, exclude nd denied naturalization.	I Additionally, it this plot to to XI , I			
understand that my plea of Guilty or No Contest in this case could result in arole in other cases and consecutive sentences.	n revocation of my probation or			
OTHER WAIVERS				
<b>Appeal rights</b> ) I give up my right to appeal the following: 1) denial of my 1 o strikes priors (under PC sections 667(b)-(i) and 1170.2), and 3) any senter	538.5 motion, 2) issues related nce stipulated herein.			
<b>Harvey Waiver</b> ) The sentencing judge may consider my prior criminal packground of the case, including any unfiled, dismissed, or stricken charge granting probation, ordering restitution, or imposing sentence.	history and the entire factual es or allegations or cases when			
Arbuckle Waiver) I give up my right to be sentenced by the judge who acce	epts this plea.			
PLEAS	,			
now plead Guilty/No Contest and admit the charges, convictions, and violated paragraph #1, above, because I am guilty. I admit that on the dates charged charge and allegation)  The Commettee BATTENY UPON ANOTHER	a, I (Describe racis as to each			
ther agree that a duly appointed Commissioner, Referee, or Temporary Judence, and conduct any other post-conviction proceedings. I declare under fornia, that I have read, understood, and initialed each item above, and any any attached addendum is true and correct.  Defendant's Signature:	ge may act as a Judge, accept this plea,			
	ONADO CA 92/18 State Zi			

Defendant ARNELL		Case Number 5 1883.	56
	ATTORNEY'S STATEMEN	NT	
this plea form and any addendum thereto. quences of this plea, including any immigrator read and initial each item to acknowled form and any addendum. I concur in the definition of the definit	<ul> <li>I discussed all charges and po- ation consequences. I personally lge his/her understanding and wa- efendant's plea and waiver of con-</li> </ul>	ssible defenses with the defendant observed the defendant fill in and livers. I observed the defendant d	initial each iter ate and sign th
IN	TERPRETER'S STATEMENT (IF	Applicable)	
I, the interpreter in this proceeding, having questions therein to the defendant in the understanding of the contents of the form a	been duly sworn, truly translated and then initialed and signed the f	this form, and any attached adder language. The def orm and any attached addendum.	ndum, and all the
Dated:	(Print Name)	Court Interpreter	(Signature
	PROSECUTOR'S STATEM	ENT	
The People of the State of California, plaidefendant's plea of Guilty/No Contest as s	et forth above	District Atterney/Deputy City Attorn	
	COURT'S FINDING AND O	RDER	
The Court, having questioned the defend admissions of the prior convictions and intelligently waives his/her constitutional defendant understands the nature of the court accepts the defendant accept	rights; the defendant's plea and the consequences of nt's plea and admissions, and the	d admissions are freely and volu the plea and admissions; and there	ntarily made; t
Dated:		/Commissioner/Referee of the Supe	erior Court
	) x	VONNE E. CAMPOG	

B.3

CERTAIN OFFENSES DEFINED AS MISDEMEANORS UNDER STATE LAW MAY BE CONSIDERED "AGGRAVATED FELONIES" UNDER FEDERAL LAW. ANY CONVICTION OF A NON-CITIZEN FOR AN "AGGRAVATED FELONY" AS DEFINED UNDER 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(43) WILL RESULT IN REMOVAL/DEPORTATION, EXCLUSION AND DENIAL OF NATURALIZATION.

"AGGRAVATED FELONIES" include, but are not limited to, the following crimes and any attempt or conspiracy to commit such crimes, even if the conviction is a misdemeanor under state law. (NOTE: Conviction of an aggravated felony is not the exclusive basis for which a defendant may or will be deported.)

- 1. ANY CRIME OF VIOLENCE\* (Includes any offense that has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person or property of another. (18 U.S.C. §16).)
- 2. BURGLARY (Except a vehicle or vessel, unless used as a residence.) \*
- 3. CHILD PORNOGRAPHY OFFENSES
- 4. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES: \*\*
  - a) MISDEMEANOR POSSESSION OF ANY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE when the defendant has previously been convicted of a drug related offense.
  - b) POSSESSION FOR SALE OF CERTAIN CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
  - c) SALE OF CERTAIN CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
  - d) TRANSPORTATION OF CERTAIN CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
  - e) MANUFACTURE/DISTRIBUTION OF CERTAIN CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
- 5. FORGERY \*
- 6. FRAUD (Where loss to victim or victims exceeds \$10,000.)
- 7. MONEY LAUNDERING (If amount over \$10,000.)
- 8. PERJURY/SUBORNATION of Perjury or Bribery of a Witness
- 9. PIMPING/PANDERING/OPERATING A PROSTITUTION BUSINESS
- 10. STATUTORY RAPE ("Unlawful Sexual Intercourse")
- 11. RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY \*
- 12. SEXUAL ABUSE OF A MINOR (Touching is not required, e.g.: Indecent Exposure.)
- 13. THEFT (Any type or amount)\*
- 14. TRAFFICKING IN VEHICLES WITH ALTERED VINS\*
  - \* Where the term imposed is at least one year, whether or not any or all of that term is stayed or suspended at the time of sentencing.
  - \*\*See 21 USC. 802. Note, however, federal and state statutes defining controlled substances are not identical.

**EXHIBIT C** 

## SUPERIOR CL IRT OF CALIFORNIA, COUN. Y OF SAN DIEGO

CALENDAR NO. 16

NUMBER GIS20077  JUDGE/COMMISSIONER HON. WILLIAM S. CAN		HEARING TIME DEPT  06 08:35AM 4  CLERK  M. TURNER  CSR #5373	F ! L E D  Clerk of the Superior Court  DEC 1 5 2006			
ROSALIE MENEZES P.O.BOX 120128, SAN PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER	DIEGO, CA 92112-0	1	By: M. TURNER			
RANDLETT T. LAWRENCE	, A	LANTZ E ARNELL ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT/RESPON	1			
1. PLAINTIFF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS 2. PLAINTIFF 4TH MOTION FOR TERMINATING SANCTIONS						
THIS MATTER HAVING COME BEFORE THE COURT THIS DATE, THE COURT ORDERS:    PRIOR TO CALENDAR CALL						

## Lawrence v. Arnell

Case No. GIS 20077

- 1. Fourth Motion for Terminating Sanctions and Monetary Sanctions
- 2. Motion for Sanctions of \$3,360.00
- 1. Plaintiff's Fourth Motion for Terminating Sanctions and Monetary Sanctions is GRANTED. Defendant's Answer is stricken and plaintiff is directed to schedule a prove-up hearing. Plaintiff is awarded \$1,360.00 in sanctions payable by defendant for reasonable fees incurred in bringing this motion.

Terminating and monetary sanctions may be imposed against a party who refuses to obey an order compelling responses to a request for production of documents. (CCP §§ 2023.030(d) and 2031.310(e).) The court ordered defendant to provide verified responses to the request for production of documents by September 5, 2006. These document requests were originally sent to defendant's prior counsel in December 2005. On September 15 the court denied plaintiff's motion for terminating sanctions because defendant indicated he would fully comply with the production of all documents requested. Unfortunately, defendant's October 7 response still does not comply with the Code of Civil Procedure provisions regarding format or content. For example, the response that documents "don't exist" is insufficient. (CCP § 2031.230.) When asked to provide any documents of title for real estate defendant's response was, "Mr. Lieb was given instructions on how to obtain the information without violating anyone's constitutional right to privacy." There is no reasonable expectation of privacy regarding deeds; the reason for recording them is to put the world on notice of the party's interest. When asked to produce bank

Dated: 12/15/06

JUDGE/COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

statements, tax returns, evidence of earnings, etc. defendant provided two documents have been so redacted that one cannot tell what institutions they are from, the date, and whether they even apply to defendant. Defendant provided what appears to be self-generated income statements that end in October rather than December as requested, and they show less than \$2,000.00 in annual income, which is improbable. Defendant's actions of telling plaintiff counsel that he could get the documents himself, producing documents that are so redacted as to be useless, and refusing to produce documents that almost certainly exist such as bank statements and tax returns are obstreperous and demonstrate an unwillingness to comply with his discovery obligations. The court has extended deadlines and accommodated defendant many times. Lesser sanctions which the court has imposed have not had their intended effect of persuading defendant to fully cooperate in responding to discovery.

Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions of \$3,360.00 is DENIED.

Plaintiff requests sanctions for having to oppose two frivolous summary judgment motions defendant filed. The motion is denied because the notice of motion states the motion is brought pursuant to CCP § 128.5. That section only applies to complaints filed on or before December 31, 1994. (CCP § 128.5(b)(1).) This case was filed in April 2005. Although the points and authorities mention CCP § 128.7, which is the applicable statute, the notice of motion did not put defendant on notice that sanctions would be sought pursuant to § 128.7 and consequently did not comport with due process.

These orders constitute the formal orders of the court.

As an aside, the court notes that on December 11 defendant filed a declaration in support of the second motion for summary judgment and motion for reconsideration with a purported hearing date of December 15. No such hearing was calendared and it was served by mail 5 days before the hearing which would be insufficient.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: 12/15/2006

Hon. WILLIAM S. CANNON
Judge of the Superior Court